1

2

3

45

6

7

9

v.

10

11

1213

1415

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2324

25

26

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON AT SEATTLE

ANDRE JONES, et al.,

Plaintiff(s),

No. C03-3195P

RABANCO, LTD., et al.,

Defendant(s).

ORDER ON DISCOVERY CONFERENCE OF JUNE 15, 2005

This Order is to memorialize the discovery conference held in the chambers of the Honorable Marsha J. Pechman on the 15th day of June, 2005. The Court has issued two other Orders as a result of this conference. The first refers the <u>Faragher-Ellerth</u> discovery issue in this matter to a Special Master for review. (see Dkt. Nos. 244, 221). The second sets forth rules for the conduct of depositions during the remainder of this litigation. (see Dkt. Nos. 245, 214). Regarding the various other discovery motions that have been filed, the Court rules as follows:

Pending Summary Judgment and 56(f) Motions to Continue - Corporate Defendants'

Motions for Summary Judgment regarding Plaintiffs' Outrage, IIED, and NIED claims

(Dkt. No. 141) and Plaintiffs' Workplace Injury claims (Dkt. No. 149) shall be

continued until final resolution of the <u>Faragher-Ellerth</u> discovery issue in this case.

This continuation is made pursuant to Plaintiffs' 56(f) Motion on these matters (Dkt.

No. 154). The current noting dates on these motions will be terminated by the Court.

Once the Court has issued its Order regarding the findings of the Special Master and

MINUTE ORDER - 1

production of the required documents, if any, has been made, the parties may revise and re-note these motions according to Local Civil Rule 7, if they wish. Additionally, no more summary judgment motions may be filed by any party to this case until the Faragher-Ellerth discovery issue is resolved.

- 2) <u>Clarification of Plaintiffs' Amended Complaint</u>- Plaintiffs' counsel is instructed to clarify which claims are being brought by which Plaintiffs against which Defendants and to provide this information to Defense counsel within ten (10) days of this Order. Additionally, Plaintiffs' counsel shall designate the pertinent dates regarding each claim.
- 3) CR 37 Submission regarding Plaintiffs' Privilege Log (Dkt. No. 232)-Plaintiffs' counsel is instructed to provide Defense counsel with the date of his first contact with any Plaintiff in this case, if he has not already done so. Plaintiffs' counsel shall also provide information regarding his first contact with each Plaintiff and the date of his contract to represent each. Plaintiffs' counsel has advised the Court that he has not created signed, third-party witness statements that he is planning to introduce into evidence. Where Plaintiffs' counsel exchanged any documents with the NAACP or other third-parties regarding this case prior to the first date of client contact, he must either turn over those documents to Defense counsel or create a privilege log describing those documents.
- 4) Plaintiffs' counsel has twenty (20) days from the date of this Order to turn over to

 Defense counsel any documents requested that he has obtained from his clients which
 are not protected by privilege, and that have not been supplied by Defendants, along
 with a signed, dated statement from each client attesting to the completeness of the
 submission. If counsel chooses to assert privilege as to any of these documents, he

must create a privilege log describing these documents. A reference to documents received from the Defendants is sufficient to establish that the documents which Plaintiffs are turning over are <u>in addition</u> to those received by from the Defendant.

- The VanWeelden Deposition (see Dkt. No. 214)- Plaintiffs' counsel shall re-note this deposition and serve a new subpoena on Mr. VanWeelden. Counsel for all parties shall cooperate with each other on the scheduling of this deposition.
- Motions for Sanctions (see Dkt. Nos.200, 205)- No costs or sanctions are awarded to any party at this point in the litigation. The Court will revisit this issue after reviewing the Special Master's recommendations on the <u>Faragher-Ellerth</u> discovery issue.
- Defendant Daniel Marsden's Motion for Summary Judgment regarding Leonard

 McDade, Pascual Montalvo, and Lawrence Ortiz (see Dkt. No. 206)- The Court will
 review the Marsden summary judgment motion and Plaintiffs' corresponding 56(f)
 motion (Dkt. No. 239) for a continuance in order to determine if this motion is ripe for the Court's consideration.
- 8) Counsel for all parties to this case must appear before this Court every thirty (30) days for a status conference regarding the progress of discovery. At each conference, the parties will discuss any problems that have arisen and will address any pending discovery motions. The next conference in this matter will be held on **Tuesday**, **July** 12, 2005 at 4:00 p.m. in Judge Pechman's chambers.

The Clerk of the Court shall direct a copy of this order be sent to all counsel of record.

Dated: June 15, 2005

/s/ Marsha J. Pechman

	Case 2:03-cv-03195-MJP	Document 248	Filed 06/15/05 Page 4 of 4
1			Marsha J. Pechman
2			United States District Judge
3			
4			
5			
6			
7			
8			
9			
10			
11			
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
	MINUTE ORDER - 4		